

Representor: James Milton Keck

Representor's ID: 86

Matter 5:

Natural, Built and Historic Environment

Response to Wycombe District Local Plan Examination Schedule of Matters and Issues

To: Nicola Gulley Planning Inspector

via Ian Kemp, Programme Officer for the Examination of the Wycombe District Council's Plan,
16 Cross Furlong, Wychbold, Droitwich, Worcestershire, WR9 7TA; idkemp@icloud.com

Re: HW9: Part of Greens Farm, Glynswood, Green Hill, High Wycombe

Dear Mrs Gulley,

In relation to HW9 in the Plan, I refer to the Issue: 'Does the Plan provide a framework for the management of the National, Built and Historic Environment that is soundly based, justified and consistent with the requirements of national policy?' I understand that for the Plan to be 'soundly based', it must be 'consistent with the requirements of national policy'. As you will be well aware HW9 is in an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB), **the Plan should therefore respond to the new Government 25-year Environment Plan for a Green Brexit and await the conclusions from the Review led by Julian Glover and announced in a Government Press Release of 27 May 2018, from the Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs and the Rt Hon Michael Gove MP, Environment Secretary.**

In addition I would argue, as HW9 is also within the Green Belt, the Plan should **await the publication of the final version of the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government's National Planning Policy Framework**, the Consultation Proposals and Draft Text for Consultation, both having been published on 5 March 2018 and indicating the Government's present policy intentions.

In relation to HW9 as an AONB, Edward Malnick writing in The Telegraph on 26 May 2018 **in relation to the review of National Parks and AONB led by Julian Glover and referring to National Parks, quoted Mr Gove's department in explaining that Mr Glover 'will look at both extending existing sites or creating new ones'**. The article went on to claim that it 'is likely to consider calls for landscapes, such as the **Chilterns and South Devon Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) to join the list of 10 National Parks** ... protected by dedicated planning authorities and given special status in law'.

'Earlier this month,' it continued, 'Dame Cheryl Gillan, the former Conservative cabinet minister, warned the Chilterns AONB was "threatened by development on all sides" and said National Park status "would provide safeguards at the highest level".'

The Environment Secretary, in the above press release of 27-5-18, emphasised that he was ‘committed to conserve and enhance England’s most cherished landscapes as a new review launches into the nation’s National Parks and Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONBs).’ The Department’s Press Release went on to clarify that ‘weakening or undermining their existing protections or geographical scope will not be part of the review...’ The present plan clearly goes against this new government policy and aims to remove HW9 from ‘existing protection’ and the ‘geographical scope’ of the Chiltern AONB.

Previous letters by residents in Glynswood highlighted the biodiversity of this chalk landscape, with flora and fauna dependent upon very specific needs. The Government’s Press Release quoted Philip Hygate, Chair of the National Association of AONBs: ‘The National Association for AONBs is delighted to support this exciting review and will work closely with Julian Glover and the review panel to help ensure that all of England’s special landscapes are equally recognised for the value they provide to the nation, and their fundamental importance to future generations.’ **The Government’s stance cannot be ignored and should ‘trigger a review’ of the Plan and continued ‘monitoring’ of Government ‘policies’.**

In relation to the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government’s National Planning Policy Framework, **which is in the process of being updated**, the following proposals and draft text clarifies the Government’s present thinking. **The follow passages are relevant to HW9:**

1. National Planning Policy Framework: Consultation Proposals: 5 March 2018:

Chapter 13: Protecting the Green Belt (p 20)

‘The Framework maintains the **strong protections of the Green Belt and retains a high bar before Green Belt land may be released. Paragraphs 136-137 implement the housing White Paper proposals that certain criteria should be satisfied before ‘exceptional circumstances’ are used to change Green Belt boundaries...’ This area of Green Belt deserves ‘strong protection’ and a ‘high bar’ should be set before ‘exceptional circumstances’ are considered.**

2. National Planning Policy Framework: Draft Text for Consultation: 5 March 2018:

135: ‘Once established, Green Belt boundaries should only be altered in **exceptional circumstances**.... Strategic plans should establish the need for any change to Green Belt boundaries, having regard to their intended permanence in the long term, so they can **endure beyond the plan period.**’ HW9 was surely ‘intended’ for ‘permanence’ as a Green Belt site, when it was established as such and the Government’s intention that it should ‘endure beyond the plan period’ is clear in this very recent document.

136: ‘Before concluding that exceptional circumstances exist to justify changes to Green Belt boundaries, the strategic plan-making authority should have examined fully all other

reasonable options for meeting its defined need for development. This will be assessed through the examination of the plan, which will take into account the preceding paragraph, and whether the strategy:

- a) makes as much use as possible of suitable brownfield sites and underutilised land.' I doubt 'as much use as possible' has been made of 'suitable brownfield and underutilised land'. An example is the area of land running parallel to the railway line and West Wycombe road behind the Clifton Lodge Hotel which is now being looked at for planning up to 40 houses this is not WDC plan and is just one example that by using a brown field site it could negate the necessity to destroy this Green Belt. Around the area there are derelict or unused houses and industrial sites, which could be used, saving the HW9 site for flora, fauna and future generations.

144: 'A local planning authority should regard the construction of new buildings as inappropriate in the Green Belt. Exceptions to this are:' a) – g). (pp41-42) None of these exceptions seem to apply, so the 'construction of new buildings' should be regarded as 'inappropriate in' this 'Green Belt' site.

I conclude that from both an AONB and Green Belt point-of-view and considering your stated criteria and the **present Government's clear views based upon two very recent documents**, this planned development for HW9 should **not proceed** or at the very least should be delayed, to be reconsidered after the Government's review and final planning framework. If the conclusion of the review is that the Chiltern AONB is to become a National Park, then this site would come under that protection. As this is a clear government consideration it should delay any action that would permanently damage the site.