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1 Introduction

1.1 Air Quality Consultants Ltd has been commissioned by Wycombe District Council (WDC) to undertake a modelling study of air quality along the M40. In 2010, Wycombe District Council completed a Detailed Assessment, which concluded that an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) is required as a result of measured and modelled exceedences of the annual and 1-hour mean nitrogen dioxide objective at locations of relevant exposure across High Wycombe. Wycombe District Council already has an AQMA declared along the length of the M40, but this declaration was made in 2001, based on the 40 µg/m$^3$ modelled contour. Originally extending 12 metres either side of the motorway carriageway, it was subsequently extended to its current size of 30 metres either side of the carriageway. This study will remodel the M40 in order to base the entire AQMA declaration, including that in High Wycombe, on the same data and assumptions.

1.2 The aim of this modelling study is to determine whether the annual mean nitrogen dioxide objective continues to be exceeded at relevant locations and, if so, the extent of exceedences and thus the boundary of the Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) required.

Background

1.3 The Air Quality Strategy for England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland (Defra, 2007) sets out a framework for air quality management, which includes a number of air quality objectives. National and international measures are expected to achieve these objectives in most locations, but where areas of poor air quality remain, air quality management at a local scale has a particularly important role to play. Part IV of the Environment Act 1995 requires local authorities to periodically review and assess air quality in their areas. The role of this process is to identify areas where it is unlikely that the air quality objectives will be achieved. These locations must be designated as AQMAs and a subsequent Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP) developed in order to reduce pollutant emissions in pursuit of the objectives.

1.4 Review and Assessment is a long-term, ongoing process, structured as a series of ‘rounds’. Local Authorities in England, Scotland and Wales have now completed the first, second and third rounds of Review and Assessment, with the fourth round underway.

1.5 Technical Guidance for Local Air Quality Management (LAQM.TG(09)) (Defra, 2009) sets out a phased approach to the Review and Assessment process. This prescribes an initial Updating and Screening Assessment (USA), which all local authorities must undertake. It is based on a checklist to identify any matters that have changed since the previous round. If the USA identifies any areas where there is a risk that the objectives may be exceeded, which were not identified in the previous round, then the Local Authority should progress to a Detailed Assessment.
1.6 The purpose of the Detailed Assessment is to determine whether an exceedence of an air quality objective is likely and the geographical extent of that exceedence. If the outcome of the Detailed Assessment is that one or more of the air quality objectives are likely to be exceeded, then an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) must be declared. Subsequent to the declaration of an AQMA, a Further Assessment should be carried out to confirm that the AQMA declaration is justified; and that the appropriate area has been declared; to ascertain the sources contributing to the exceedence; and to calculate the magnitude of reduction in emissions required to achieve the objective. This information can be used to inform an Air Quality Action Plan, which will identify measures to improve local air quality.

1.7 Following the findings of Wycombe District Council’s USA published in 2009, which concluded that there were measured exceedences of the annual mean nitrogen dioxide objective at locations of relevant exposure (WDC, 2009), a Detailed Assessment was carried out (WDC, 2010). The Detailed Assessment concluded that an AQMA was required as a result of measured exceedences of the annual and 1-hour mean nitrogen dioxide objective at locations of relevant exposure across the town of High Wycombe.

1.8 The Government’s Air Quality Strategy (Defra, 2007) provides air quality standards and objectives for key air pollutants, which are designed to protect human health and the environment. The ‘standards’ are set as concentrations below which health effects are unlikely even in sensitive population groups, or below which risks to public health would be exceedingly small. They are based purely upon the scientific and medical evidence of the effects of a particular pollutant. The ‘objectives’ set out the extent to which the Government expects the standards to be achieved by a certain date. They take account of the costs, benefits, feasibility and practicality of achieving the standards. It also sets out how the different sectors: industry, transport and local government, can contribute to achieving the air quality objectives. The objectives are prescribed within The Air Quality (England) Regulations 2000 (Stationery Office, 2000) and The Air Quality (England) (Amendment) Regulations 2002 (Stationery Office, 2002). Table 1 summarises the objectives which are relevant to this report. Appendix 1 provides a brief summary of the health effects of nitrogen dioxide.

1.9 The air quality objectives only apply where members of the public are likely to be regularly present for the averaging time of the objective (i.e. where people will be exposed to pollutants). For annual mean objectives, relevant exposure is limited to residential properties, schools and hospitals. The 1-hour objective applies at these locations as well as at any outdoor location where a member of the public might reasonably be expected to stay for 1 hour or more, such as shopping streets, parks and sports grounds, as well as bus stations and railway stations that are not fully enclosed.

1.10 Measurements across the UK have shown that the 1-hour nitrogen dioxide objective is unlikely to be exceeded unless the annual mean nitrogen dioxide concentration is greater than 60 µg/m³.
(Defra, 2009). Thus exceedences of 60 µg/m³ as an annual mean nitrogen dioxide concentration are used as an indicator of potential exceedences of the 1-hour nitrogen dioxide objective.

**Table 1: Air Quality Objectives for Nitrogen Dioxide**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Time Period</th>
<th>Objective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen Dioxide</td>
<td>1-hour mean</td>
<td>200 µg/m³ not to be exceeded more than 18 times a year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Annual mean</td>
<td>40 µg/m³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2 Assessment Methodology

Monitoring

2.1 Monitoring for nitrogen dioxide was carried out by Wycombe District Council using passive diffusion tubes at three locations alongside the M40 in 2009. The Council also operates an automatic monitor in Stokenchurch, alongside the M40. The monitoring sites and study area are shown in Figure 1. Diffusion tubes were prepared and analysed by Bureau Veritas Labs using the 20% TEA in water method. It is necessary to adjust diffusion tube data to account for laboratory bias. A bias adjustment factor for 2009 of 0.81 has been taken from the database of national factors provided on the Review and Assessment Helpdesk website (spreadsheet version 03/10).

![Figure 1: Study Area and Monitoring Locations. M40 shown in blue, Diffusion Tubes shown in Red and the Automatic Monitor shown in Green](image)
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Modelling

2.2 Annual mean nitrogen dioxide concentrations have been predicted using detailed dispersion modelling (ADMS-Roads v2.3). The model outputs have been verified against the automatic and diffusion tube measurements described in paragraph 2.1. Concentrations have been predicted for a grid of receptors across the study area to allow concentration isopleths to be plotted.
Uncertainty

2.3 There is an element of uncertainty in all measured and modelled data. All values presented in this report are the best possible estimates, but uncertainties in the results might cause over-predictions or under-predictions. All of the measurements presented have an intrinsic margin of error. Defra (2010a) suggest that this is of the order of plus or minus 20% for diffusion tube data and plus or minus 10% for automatic measurements, provided that appropriate QA/QC procedures are applied. The model results rely on measured and modelled traffic data which has its own inherent uncertainty. There will be additional uncertainties introduced because the modelling has simplified real-world processes into a series of algorithms. For example: it has been assumed the emissions per vehicle conform to the factors published in Defra’s Emission Factor Toolkit (EFT V4.2); it has been assumed that wind conditions measured at Heathrow Airport during 2009 occurred within the High Wycombe study area, and it has been assumed that the subsequent dispersion of emitted pollutants will conform to a Gaussian distribution over flat terrain. An important step in the assessment is verifying the dispersion model against the measured data. By comparing the model results with measurements, data have been corrected for any under- or over-prediction (see Appendix 3 for details of the model verification).

2.4 Recently however, a disparity between the road transport emission projections and measured annual mean concentrations of NOx and NO\textsubscript{2} has been identified. This applies across the UK, although the effect appears to be greatest in inner London; there is also considerable inter-site variation. Whilst the emission projections suggest that both annual mean NOx and NO\textsubscript{2} concentrations should have fallen over the past 6 to 8 years, at many monitoring sites levels have remained relatively stable, or have even shown a slight increase.

2.5 The precise reason for this disparity is not known, but is thought to be related to the actual on-road performance of diesel vehicles when compared to the calculations based on the Euro standards. It may therefore be expected that NOx and NO\textsubscript{2} concentrations will not fall as quickly in future years as the current projections indicate. However, at this stage, there is no robust evidence upon which to carry out any revised predictions.

2.6 These limitations to the assessment should be borne in mind when considering the results set out in the following sections. While the model should give an overall accurate picture, i.e. one without bias, there will be uncertainties for individual locations.
3 Results

Monitoring

3.1 Monitoring data for the sites within the study area (Figure 1) are summarised in Table 2. The annual mean objective was exceeded at four of the monitoring locations in 2009.

Table 2: Annual Mean Nitrogen Dioxide Concentrations Measured within High Wycombe in 2009 (μg/m³)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Site Type</th>
<th>Site Description</th>
<th>2009ᵃ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Automatic Monitor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stokenchurch</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>Marcourt Road</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion Tubes ᵇ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Roadside</td>
<td>Wheeler End</td>
<td>64.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>Loudwater, Knaves Hollow</td>
<td>34.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5</td>
<td>Roadside</td>
<td>Gilfield Close</td>
<td>35.9ᵇ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ᵃ Data adjusted using the national bias adjustment factor of 0.81.
ᵇ Data have been annualised. See Appendix 4 for further details.

Modelling

3.2 Isopleth maps of the modelled annual mean nitrogen dioxide concentrations at ground-floor level are presented in Figure 2 to 6. These show that the annual mean objective is likely to be exceeded alongside the M40 to a distance of about 55m. The gap in predicted exceedences at ground level to the east of High Wycombe is where the motorway is elevated, and therefore where pollutants disperse prior to reaching ground level. It is, however, likely that any properties at a similar height to the motorway at this location, within approximately 55m of the carriageway would also be experiencing exceedences.

3.3 The isopleths show the 40 μg/m³ contour in red, the 36 μg/m³ contour in blue, as well as the 60 μg/m³ contour in green. There is some uncertainty surrounding both the measured and modelled concentrations. It is therefore recommended that an AQMA is declared to include, as a minimum, those residential properties which lie within the 36 μg/m³ contour, in order to be precautionary. This advice is also consistent with the AQMA declaration for High Wycombe.

3.4 In addition, there are predicted exceedences of 60 μg/m³ as an annual mean nitrogen dioxide concentration close to the carriageway. There are, potentially, 2 properties immediately to the east
of the elevated section of the M40 which are within the 60 µg/m$^3$ contour. The AQMA declaration will need to include the hourly objective, as a minimum, for these properties.

3.5 The current M40 AQMA joins both Marlow Hill and London Road within the recommended High Wycombe AQMA area, southeast and southwest.
Figure 2  Modelled Annual Mean Nitrogen Dioxide Concentration Contours in 2009 within the west end of the study area (red line shows western boundary of Wycombe District Council). The red contour line represents 40 µg/m³, the blue contour line represents 36 µg/m³, and the green contour line represents 60 µg/m³. © Crown copyright 2010. All rights reserved, Wycombe DC. License number: 100023306
Figure 3  Modelled Annual Mean Nitrogen Dioxide Concentration Contours in 2009 along the M40 between Stokenchurch and High Wycombe (red dot is diffusion tube D). The red contour line represents 40 µg/m$^3$, the blue contour line represents 36 µg/m$^3$, and the green contour line represents 60 µg/m$^3$. © Crown copyright 2010. All rights reserved, Wycombe DC. License number: 100023306
Figure 4  Modelled Annual Mean Nitrogen Dioxide Concentration Contours in 2009 along the M40 south of High Wycombe (red dot is diffusion tube H5). The red contour line represents 40 µg/m³, the blue contour line represents 36 µg/m³, and the green contour line represents 60 µg/m³. © Crown copyright 2010. All rights reserved, Wycombe DC. License number: 100023306
Figure 5  Modelled Annual Mean Nitrogen Dioxide Concentration Contours in 2009 along the M40 east of High Wycombe (red dot is diffusion tube A). The red contour line represents 40 µg/m$^3$, the blue contour line represents 36 µg/m$^3$, and the green contour line represents 60 µg/m$^3$. Contours stop at the elevated section of motorway. © Crown copyright 2010. All rights reserved, Wycombe DC. License number: 100023306
Figure 6  Modelled Annual Mean Nitrogen Dioxide Concentration Contours in 2009 along the M40 at the east end of the District (red dot is diffusion tube A). The red contour line represents 40 µg/m³, the blue contour line represents 36 µg/m³, and the green contour line represents 60 µg/m³. Contours stop at the elevated section of motorway. © Crown copyright 2010. All rights reserved, Wycombe DC. License number: 100023306
4 Conclusions and Recommendations

4.1 A modelling study has been carried out for nitrogen dioxide along the M40 within Wycombe District Council. This area is currently an AQMA, but has been remodelled using up to date data and methodology in order to provide predictions of concentrations which are consistent with work undertaken within a Detailed Assessment of High Wycombe.

4.2 This study has been carried out using a combination of monitoring data and modelled concentrations. Concentrations of nitrogen dioxide have been modelled for 2009 using the ADMS-Roads dispersion model. The model has been verified against measurements made at three nitrogen dioxide diffusion tube monitoring locations and one real time site which lie adjacent to the M40.

4.3 The assessment has identified that the annual mean nitrogen dioxide objective is being exceeded along the length of the M40 included in the study. There are also two properties immediately to the east of the elevated section where potentially the hourly nitrogen dioxide objective is being exceeded.

4.4 There is some uncertainty surrounding both the measured and modelled concentrations. It is therefore recommended that an AQMA is declared to include, as a minimum, those residential properties that lie within the 36 µg/m$^3$ contour, to be precautionary. This represents a distance of roughly 55-60m from the carriageway. Properties which are also predicted to exceed the 60 µg/m$^3$ annual mean should also be declared for the hourly objective.

4.5 It is also recommended that Wycombe District Council continues monitoring nitrogen dioxide at the existing monitoring locations, and expand the network where possible.

4.6 It is further recommended that some locations adjacent to the M40 are included in the Further Assessment of the High Wycombe AQMA, particularly with regards to source apportionment and defining the reductions in emissions required to achieve the objective.
5 References


6  Glossary

Standards  A nationally defined set of concentrations for nine pollutants below which health effects do not occur or are minimal.

Objectives  A nationally defined set of health-based concentrations for nine pollutants, seven of which are incorporated in Regulations, setting out the extent to which the standards should be achieved by a defined date, taking into account costs, benefits, feasibility and practicality. There are also vegetation-based objectives for sulphur dioxide and nitrogen oxides.

Exceedence  A period of time where the concentration of a pollutant is greater than the appropriate air quality objective.

AQMA  Air Quality Management Area

ADMS Roads  Atmospheric Dispersion Modelling System for Roads.

NO\textsubscript{x}  Nitrogen oxides (taken as NO + NO\textsubscript{2})

NO  Nitric Oxide

NO\textsubscript{2}  Nitrogen dioxide.

\mu g/m\textsuperscript{3}  Microgrammes per cubic metre.

Roadside  A site sampling between 1 m of the kerbside of a busy road and the back of the pavement. Typically this will be within 5 m of the road, but could be up to 15 m (Defra, 2009).

HDV  Heavy Duty Vehicle

LDV  Light Duty Vehicle

AADT  Annual Average Daily Traffic flows
A1  Appendix 1 – Summary of Health Effects of Nitrogen Dioxide

Table A1.1: Summary of Health Effects of Nitrogen Dioxide

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Main Health Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen Dioxide</td>
<td>Short-term exposure to high concentrations may cause inflammation of respiratory airways. Long term exposure may affect lung function and enhance responses to allergens in sensitised individuals. Asthmatics will be particularly at risk (Defra, 2007).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A2  Appendix 2 – Traffic Data

A2.1 The ADMS Roads model requires the user to provide various input data, including emissions from each section of road, and the road characteristics; e.g. whether there is a street canyon. Vehicle emissions have been calculated based on vehicle flow, composition and speed using the Emission Factor Toolkit (Version 4.2) published by Defra (Defra, 2010b).

A2.2 2009 AADT flows, and the proportions of HDVs have been provided by the local authority. Traffic speeds have been estimated from local speed restrictions, and take account of the proximity to junctions and pedestrian crossings. The traffic data used in this study are presented in Table A2.1, below.

Table A2.1: Summary of Traffic Data 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road</th>
<th>AADT Flows</th>
<th>%HDV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M40 (J2 - J3 Wooburn)</td>
<td>121,176</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M40 (J3 - J4 High Wycombe)</td>
<td>103,670</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M40 (J4 - J5 Stokenchurch)</td>
<td>94,593</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M40 (J5 - J6 Lewknor)</td>
<td>94,393</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A3  Appendix 3 – Model Verification

A3.1 Most nitrogen dioxide (NO$_2$) is produced in the atmosphere by reaction of nitric oxide (NO) with ozone. It is therefore most appropriate to verify the model in terms of primary pollutant emissions of nitrogen oxides (NO$_X$ = NO + NO$_2$). The model has been run to predict the annual mean road-NO$_X$ concentration during 2009 at the three diffusion tube monitoring sites and the automatic monitoring location described in Table 2, which lie alongside the roads included in the model.

A3.2 The model output of road-NO$_X$ (i.e. the component of total NO$_X$ coming from road traffic) has been compared with the ‘measured’ road-NO$_X$. Measured road-NO$_X$ for the diffusion tube sites was calculated from the measured NO$_2$ concentration and the predicted background NO$_2$ concentration using the recently updated NO$_X$ from NO$_2$ calculator available on the LAQM Support website (Defra, 2010b).

A3.3 A primary adjustment factor was determined as the slope of the best fit line between the ‘measured’ road contribution and the model derived road contribution, forced through zero (Figure A3.1). This factor was then applied to the modelled road-NO$_X$ concentration for each receptor to provide adjusted modelled road-NO$_X$ concentrations. The total nitrogen dioxide concentrations were then determined by combining the adjusted modelled road-NO$_X$ concentrations with the predicted background NO$_2$ concentration within the recently updated NO$_X$ from NO$_2$ calculator available on the LAQM Support website (Defra, 2010b). A secondary adjustment factor was finally calculated as the slope of the best fit line applied to the adjusted data and forced through zero (Figure A3.2).

A3.4 The following primary and secondary adjustment factors have been applied to all modelled nitrogen dioxide data:

- Primary adjustment factor : 1.629
- Secondary adjustment factor: 0.992

A3.5 The results imply that the model was under-predicting the road-NO$_X$ contribution. This is a common experience with this and most other models. The final NO$_2$ adjustment is minor.

A3.6 Figure A3.3 compares final adjusted modelled total NO$_2$ at each of the monitoring sites, to measured total NO$_2$, and shows a 1:1 relationship.
Figure A3.1: Comparison of Measured Road-NO\textsubscript{X} to Unadjusted Modelled Road NO\textsubscript{X} Concentrations

Figure A3.2: Comparison of Measured Total NO\textsubscript{2} to Primary Adjusted Modelled Total NO\textsubscript{2} Concentrations
A4 Appendix 4 – Adjustment of Short-Term Data to Annual Mean

A4.1 Diffusion tube H5 only had eight months data capture (January – March, August – December). As a result, data for these sites do not represent a full calendar year. Therefore, in accordance with the guidance in LAQM.TG(09) (Defra, 2009), the data have been adjusted to an annual mean, based on the ratio of concentrations during the short-term monitoring period to those over a full calendar year at four sites where long-term data are available.

A4.2 The annual mean nitrogen dioxide concentrations and the period means for each of the four Herts and Beds network automatic monitoring sites from which adjustment factors have been calculated are presented in Table A4.1, along with the Overall Factors.
### Table A4.1: Data used to Adjust Short-term Monitoring Data for the Period January – March, August - December (Tube H5) to a 2009 Annual Mean Equivalent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monitoring Sites</th>
<th>St Albans</th>
<th>Dunstable</th>
<th>Hertsmere Borehamwood</th>
<th>Welwyn Hatfield</th>
<th>Average Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual Mean (μg/m³)</strong></td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Period Mean (μg/m³)</strong></td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ratio</strong></td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td><strong>0.89</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>